

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/659,919	KREUTER, RUEDIGER GUENTER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven S. Paik	2876	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Steven S. Paik. (3) _____.

(2) James A. Larson (40,443). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chan et al. (US 6,588,673).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant stated the cited prior art does not teach the features recited in the amended claim 1. The examiner explained his position and how the cited art was interpreted and applied. The examiner informed the applicant that the cited reference would be reconsidered in view of amended claims and remarks from the applicant. It is communicated that the applicant is open for any suggestions from the examiner to accomplish an efficient examining process..